A Remarkable Depth Confusion in Images of the Incomplete Statues of Bruno Catalano
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Abstract
Images of Bruno Catalano’s sculptures of incomplete bodies give rise to a remarkable depth confusion in which the background is partly pushed to the front. We argue that this confusion is related to what happens in the Kanizsa square, although the effect in the images of Catalano’s sculpture appears to be driven by knowledge-based processing.
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Bruno Catalano is a French sculptor who is famous for his incomplete body statues. When looking at two-dimensional images of these statues (Figure 1), something remarkable happens. The background that is seen through the gap in the body occasionally seems perceptually pushed to the front, such that it appears as a part of the body, or even as an occluding structure that conceals parts of a complete body from sight. In Figure 1, a few images are shown of Catalano’s statues. The depth confusion, however, is rather ambiguous and unstable. When observing the images, the different interpretations appear to compete with each other as the parts inside the body gaps can obviously also be seen as belonging to the
This ambiguity in itself is of interest and adds to the aesthetic value of the incomplete statues, a phenomenon that relates to the effect of semantic instability in aesthetic appreciation (so-called SeIns, as put forward by Muth & Carbon, 2016).

The induced illusory depth order in the Catalano statues, although less stable, is related to what happens in the well-known Kanizsa square (Figure 2; Kanizsa, 1955, 1979), where the white inner square seems to be pushed forward, allowing an interpretation of four completed disks that are partly occluded by a white square. In Figure 2(a) and 2(b), examples are shown in which a simple background structure (the series of gray disks) is perceptually pushed forward (towards the observer). In Figure 2(a), the borders of two of the gray disks coincide with illusory borders of the illusory square such that the three disks in the middle appear to be part of the surface of the illusory square. This illusory percept relates to a phenomenon
described by Ramachandran (1986), who showed that under specific conditions, a textured background can be “captured” by an illusory square (see also van Lier, de Wit, & Koning, 2006). Note that this kind of “capture” can also be experienced in Figure 1: When parts of the structure of the background align with parts of possible body contours, a confusing percept is evoked in which these background parts temporarily seem to belong to the bodies. In Figure 2(b), the gray disks cross the boundaries of the illusory square and seem to be pushed even further forward, as if there were four layers: First, closest to the observer, the gray disks, then the illusory square followed by four amodally completed disks, and finally, a white background (cf. van Lier et al., 2006). In contrast, Figure 2(c) provides an example in which the percept of an illusory square is completely abolished, as the inducing elements (the symmetrical crosses) no longer trigger completion processes (Kanizsa, 1955, 1979).

In Catalano’s statues, the background is partly pushed to the front due to an apparent knowledge-driven completion of the body fragments. The strength of the effect depends on the recognizability of the fragments. To illustrate this aspect further, consider Figure 3(a). Notice that the picture triggers the confusing impression that the faces are partly occluded by a part of the background (or, stated otherwise, the background seems to act as an occluder,

Figure 3. (a) A picture of the two authors with a large portion taken out such that the background is visible. Note that the impression is that part of the background is partly occluding the faces. (b) When the face and body parts are replaced by some more or less meaningless abstract shapes, while largely maintaining the local contour properties at the gaps, the illusory depth order is much less pronounced (i.e., the same area now is readily perceived as being part of the background). (c) The bodily features are removed further, leading to an even further reduction of the depth confusion.
partially covering the faces). Figure 3(b) shows a manipulated version of Figure 3(a) in which the presence of faces is largely abolished. The rather abstract shapes in Figure 3(b) obviously have different properties (e.g., less details, different forms), but they cover approximately the same portion of the background and, importantly, have largely the same local contour properties at the gaps as in Figure 3(a) (i.e., gap length and angle of junctions at the gaps; similar to the Pacman-cross alteration in Figure 2). One may notice that in Figure 3(b), the depth confusion is much reduced. In Figure 3(c), the bodily features that are still present in Figure 3(b) were taken away further, which weakens the depth confusion even more.

A crucial difference between the above effect and the Kanizsa square is that while the alternative depth order in the Kanizsa square appears to be triggered predominantly by bottom-up processing, the depth order as seen in the example in Figure 3 and in Catalano’s sculptures seems to be driven by knowledge about what human faces and bodies look like. The phenomenon is similar to the perceived depth effects in some of Rene Magritte’s paintings (e.g., “Le Blanc-Seing”), in which, beside local figural occlusion cues, knowledge of biological shapes also appears to play a role (which in turn inspired Kanizsa to a similar composition in which a fragmented car was used; Kanizsa, 1985). It should be noted further that with regard to Catalano’s statues, the ambiguity is apparent in the static images, which would differ from observing the real three-dimensional statues as small head movements would quickly reveal the reality of the gaps.

All in all, the ambiguity when observing the incomplete statues of Bruno Catalano suggests that the body fragments temporarily trigger knowledge-based completions and with that cause the aesthetically pleasant depth confusions.
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Note

1. The confusion about the phenomenal impression on the Catalano statues was shared among many visitors at our poster presentation at Visual Science of Art Conference 2018, Trieste and the symposium Skin of Things, 2018 Amsterdam (van Lier & Ekroll, 2018), and also appears on various websites discussing Catalano’s statues.
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